

CARDINALITY OF BALLS IN PERMUTATION SPACES

LIVIU P. DINU AND CATALIN ZARA

ABSTRACT. For a right invariant distance on a permutation space S_n we give a sufficient condition for the cardinality of a ball of radius R to grow polynomially in n for fixed R . For the distance ℓ_1 we show that for an integer k the cardinality of a sphere of radius $2k$ in S_n (for $n \geq k$) is a polynomial of degree k in n and determine the high degree terms of this polynomial.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Split Types	3
3. Polynomial Growth	5
4. Polynomial Growth for ℓ_p	6
5. High Degree Terms	8
6. Spheres of Small Radius	12
References	13

1. INTRODUCTION

Let S_∞ be the group of permutations of $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots, n, \dots\}$ that fix all but finitely many entries. For each positive integer n the group S_n of permutations of $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ can be naturally identified with the subgroup of S_∞ containing the permutations that fix all the entries greater than n . With these identifications $S_n \subset S_m \subset S_\infty$ for all $0 < n < m$. Let $D: S_\infty \times S_\infty \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a metric on S_∞ for which right multiplications are isometries:

$$D(uw, vw) = D(u, v)$$

for all $u, v, w \in S_\infty$. Such metrics are called *right invariant*; several examples are discussed in the survey [DH98]. Since permutations in S_∞ fix all but finitely many entries, the following right invariant metrics are well-defined on S_∞ :

- The Hamming distance H , counting the number of disagreements,

$$H(u, v) = \#\{i \geq 1 \mid u(i) \neq v(i)\}.$$

- For $p \geq 1$, the ℓ_p distance

$$\ell_p(u, v) = \left(\sum_{i \geq 1} |u(i) - v(i)|^p \right)^{1/p};$$

in particular

$$\ell_1(u, v) = \sum_{i \geq 1} |u(i) - v(i)| .$$

- The ℓ_∞ distance

$$\ell_\infty(u, v) = \max_{i \geq 1} |u(i) - v(i)| .$$

- The Cayley distance T , with $T(u, v)$ defined as the minimal number of transpositions needed to transform u into v .
- The Kendall distance I , with $I(u, v)$ defined as the minimal number of transpositions of adjacent entries needed to transform u into v .

The restriction of a right invariant metric D to S_n is a right invariant metric on S_n and an immediate consequence of right invariance is that the cardinality of spheres and of balls depends only on the radius and is independent of the center. For a permutation u we define $D(u) = D(\text{id}, u)$, where id is the identity permutation. For $R > 0$, let

$$\mathcal{B}_D(R) = \{u \in S_\infty \mid D(u) \leq R\}$$

be the closed ball of radius R centered at id and

$$\mathcal{S}_D(R) = \{u \in S_\infty \mid D(u) = R\}$$

the sphere of radius R centered at id . There are at most countably many values of R for which the sphere $\mathcal{S}_D(R)$ is not empty.

For $n \geq 1$, let

$$\mathcal{B}_{D,n}(R) = \mathcal{B}_D(R) \cap S_n = \{u \in S_n \mid D(u) \leq R\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}_{D,n}(R) = \mathcal{S}_D(R) \cap S_n = \{u \in S_n \mid D(u) = R\}$$

be the corresponding ball and sphere in S_n , and

$$V_{D,n}(R) = \#\mathcal{B}_{D,n}(R) \quad , \quad A_{D,n}(R) = \#\mathcal{S}_{D,n}(R)$$

the cardinalities of a ball and the sphere of radius R in S_n .

Formulas for $V_{D,n}(R)$ and $A_{D,n}(R)$ (approximate or exact) are known for several of the metrics mentioned above. For the Kendall distance I , the cardinality of the sphere $\mathcal{S}_{I,n}(k)$ is polynomial of degree k in n (see [Knu73, p. 15]). For the Hamming distance H , the cardinality of the sphere $\mathcal{S}_{H,n}(k)$ is also polynomial of degree k in n ; more precisely

$$A_{H,n}(k) = \left\lfloor \frac{k!}{e} \right\rfloor \binom{n}{k} ,$$

since $\left\lfloor \frac{k!}{e} \right\rfloor$ is the number of permutations in S_k with no fixed points.

For both metrics I and H , the cardinality of a ball of fixed radius k is also a polynomial of degree k in n . However, for the ℓ_∞ metric, the situation is different. A consequence of [Sta97, Proposition 4.7.8] is that for fixed k , the cardinality of a ball of ℓ_∞ -radius k in S_n satisfies a linear recurrence in n . A lower bound, exponential in n , is given in [KLØ11].

In this article we give a sufficient condition for the cardinality of a ball of radius R in S_n to grow polynomially in n for fixed R . The condition is satisfied by the Kendall distance I and by the metrics ℓ_p with $p \geq 1$, but not by ℓ_∞ or by the Hamming distance H .

For the metric ℓ_1 we show that for an integer k , $A_{\ell_1,n}(2k)$ is a polynomial $P_k(n)$ of degree k in n (for $n \geq k$) and give explicit formulas for high degree terms of this polynomial. A direct consequence of the polynomial growth is that, if we randomly pick a permutation in a closed ball of radius $2k$ then, with probability converging to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the permutation is on the sphere of radius $2k$ with the same center:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(\ell_1(u) = 2k \mid \ell_1(u) \leq 2k) = 1 .$$

In Section 2 we introduce the *split types*, one of the main tools used to prove our results. In Section 3 we formulate and prove the sufficient conditions for the cardinalities of balls and of spheres to grow polynomially, and in Section 4 we apply these conditions to the metrics ℓ_p . In Section 5 we compute high degree terms of the polynomials $P_k(n) = A_{\ell_1,n}(k)$. Exact formulas for ℓ_1 -spheres of small radii are given in Section 6.

2. SPLIT TYPES

We use the one-line notation for permutations: a permutation $\pi \in S_m$ is denoted by $\pi = (\pi(1)\pi(2)\dots\pi(m))$.

Definition 2.1. If $\pi \in S_m$ and $\sigma \in S_n$, the *concatenation* $\pi + \sigma$ is the permutation

$$\pi + \sigma = (\pi(1)\dots\pi(m)(m+\sigma(1))\dots(m+\sigma(n))) \in S_{m+n} .$$

For example, $(321) + (21) = (32154)$.

Concatenation is associative (but not commutative) so we can define the concatenation of any finite number of permutations. For example,

$$(1) + (321) + (12) + (21) = (1432) + (1243) = (14325687) .$$

Definition 2.2. A permutation that can not be written as a concatenation of permutations of lower order is called *connected*. A permutation that can be written as a concatenation of permutations of lower order is called *disconnected*. The unique decomposition of a permutation as a concatenation of connected permutations is called the *split decomposition* of that permutation.¹

For example, $(1432) = (1) + (321)$ is disconnected, but (321) is connected.

An easy way to determine the split decomposition is to identify the *cuts*: a permutation $\pi \in S_n$ has a cut at $1 \leq i < n$ if $\pi(j) \leq i$ for all $j \leq i$ and $\pi(j) > i$ for all $j > i$. If $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_q < n$ are the cuts of π , then the slices $\pi_0 = \pi([1, i_1])$, $\pi_1 = \pi([i_1, i_2])$, $\dots$, $\pi_q = \pi([i_q, n])$ correspond to the permutations in the split decomposition of π . For example, for $\pi = (1432576) \in S_7$ the splits are at 1, 4, and 5 and the split decomposition is

$$(1432576) = (1) + (321) + (1) + (21) .$$

Definition 2.3. The *split type* of a permutation is the permutation obtained by concatenating the nontrivial permutations of its split decomposition.

For example, the split type of $(1432576) = (1) + (321) + (1) + (21) \in S_8$ is

$$(321) + (21) = (32154) \in S_5 .$$

¹The connected parts of the split decomposition correspond to the components of the cycle diagram defined in [Eli11].

The necessary and sufficient condition that a permutation σ be a split type is that the connected parts in the split decomposition of σ be non-trivial permutations. Let S'_∞ be the set of split types and S'_m the subset of split types in S_m . If $\sigma \in S'_\infty$, we define $q(\sigma)$ to be the number of connected parts, and $m(\sigma)$ to be the smallest m such that $\sigma \in S_m$. Equivalently, $m(\sigma)$ is the unique value of m such that $\sigma \in S'_m$. For example, if $\sigma = (32154)$, then $q(\sigma) = 2$ and $m(\sigma) = 5$. We have $m(\sigma) \geq 2q(\sigma)$, or, equivalently,

$$q(\sigma) \leq m(\sigma) - q(\sigma) ,$$

with equality only for $\sigma = (21) + (21) + \cdots + (21)$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $\sigma \in S'_\infty$ be a split type. The number of permutations $\pi \in S_n$ of split type σ is

$$M(n, \sigma) = \begin{bmatrix} n + q(\sigma) - m(\sigma) \\ q(\sigma) \end{bmatrix} ,$$

where $\begin{bmatrix} i \\ j \end{bmatrix}$ is the binomial coefficient $\binom{i}{j}$ if $0 \leq i \leq j$ and 0 otherwise.²

Proof. Let $\pi \in S_n$ of split type $\sigma = \sigma_1 + \cdots + \sigma_q \in S_m$. We mark the leftmost position of each of the images in π of the parts $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_q$, delete the other $m - q$ positions of those images, and then compress the result, translating the markings. The marked values form a q -element subset of $[n + q - m]$. For example, for the permutation $\pi = (1432576)$ of split type $\sigma = (321) + (21)$, the image of σ in π is on positions 2,3,4 and 6,7. We keep and mark (in bold) 2 and 6 and delete 3,4, and 7. The result is the set $\{1, \mathbf{2}, 5, \mathbf{6}\}$, which is compressed to $\{1, \mathbf{2}, 3, \mathbf{4}\}$, corresponding to the subset $\{2, 4\}$ of $[4]$.

We have therefore associated a q -element subset of $[n + q - m]$ to each permutation $\pi \in S_n$ of split type σ , and this map is clearly injective. It is also surjective, because the process is reversible: each q -element subset of $[n + q - m]$ can be expanded to a unique permutation in S_n of split type σ . For example, if $\sigma = (321) + (21)$, then the subset $\{1, 3\}$ of $[4]$ comes from $\{1, 2, \mathbf{3}, 4\}$, which is the result of compressing $\{1, 4, \mathbf{5}, 7\}$. That comes from the permutation (3214657) .

Hence the number of permutations in S_n of split type $\sigma = \sigma_1 + \cdots + \sigma_q \in S_m$ is the same as the number of q -element subsets of $[n + q - m]$. $\square$

Remark 2.5. For $n \geq m(\sigma) - q(\sigma)$, $M(n, \sigma)$ is a polynomial of degree $q(\sigma)$ in n .

For all distances $D = H, T, I, \ell_p, \ell_\infty$, the split type determines the distance to the identity: if a permutation $u \in S_n$ has split type $\sigma \in S_m$, then

$$D(u) = D(\sigma) .$$

Definition 2.6. A distance D on S_∞ is called a *split type distance* if $D(u) = D(v)$ for any two permutations u and v having the same split type.

Moreover, if $D = I, \ell_1$, then $D(u + v) = D(u) + D(v)$ for all u, v .

Definition 2.7. A distance D on S_∞ is called a *additive* if $D(u + v) = D(u) + D(v)$.

Any additive distance is a split type distance.

²If $i < 0 \leq j$, then the binomial coefficient $\binom{i}{j}$ is $i(i-1)\cdots(i-j+1)/j! = (-1)^j \binom{j-i-1}{j}$ and is not zero. However, $\begin{bmatrix} i \\ j \end{bmatrix} = 0$.

3. POLYNOMIAL GROWTH

We can now formulate and prove our sufficient condition for a polynomial growth of $V_{D,n}(R)$, the cardinality of a D -ball of radius R in S_n .

Theorem 3.1. Let D be a right invariant split type distance on S_∞ and $R > 0$. Suppose there exists an integer $N(R)$ such that $m(\sigma) - q(\sigma) \leq N(R)$ for every split type σ with $D(\sigma) \leq R$. Then there exists a polynomial P of degree at most $N(R)$ such that $V_{D,n}(R) = P(n)$ for all $n \geq N(R)$.

Proof. If σ is a split type with $D(\sigma) \leq R$, then $q(\sigma) \leq m(\sigma) - q(\sigma) \leq N(R)$ and $m(\sigma) \leq N(R) + q(\sigma) \leq 2N(R)$. Then

$$V_{D,n}(R) = \sum_{\sigma \in S'_\infty} \sum_{D(\sigma) \leq R} \begin{bmatrix} n + q(\sigma) - m(\sigma) \\ q(\sigma) \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{m \leq 2N(R)} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S'_m \\ D(\sigma) \leq R}} \begin{bmatrix} n + q(\sigma) - m \\ q(\sigma) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $\alpha_D(R, m, q)$ be the number of split types $\sigma \in S'_m$ with $q(\sigma) = q$ and $D(\sigma) \leq R$. Then

$$V_{D,n}(R) = \sum_{q=1}^{N(R)} \sum_{m=2q}^{q+N(R)} \alpha_D(R, m, q) \begin{bmatrix} n + q - m \\ q \end{bmatrix}$$

and for $n \geq N(R)$,

$$V_{D,n}(R) = \sum_{q=1}^{N(R)} \sum_{m=2q}^{q+N(R)} \alpha_D(R, m, q) \binom{n + q - m}{q}$$

is a polynomial in n of degree at most $N(R)$. $\square$

A completely similar argument proves the following condition for a polynomial growth of the cardinality of a sphere.

Theorem 3.2. Let D be a right invariant split type distance on S_∞ and $R > 0$. Suppose there exists an integer $N(R)$ such that $m(\sigma) - q(\sigma) \leq N(R)$ for every split type σ with $D(\sigma) = R$. Let $\beta_D(R, m, q)$ be the number of split types $\sigma \in S'_m$ such that $D(\sigma) = R$ and $q(\sigma) = q$. Then

$$A_{D,n}(R) = \sum_{q=1}^{N(R)} \sum_{m=2q}^{q+N(R)} \beta_D(R, m, q) \begin{bmatrix} n + q - m \\ q \end{bmatrix}$$

and for $n \geq N(R)$,

$$(3.1) \quad A_{D,n}(R) = \sum_{q=1}^{N(R)} \sum_{m=2q}^{q+N(R)} \beta_D(R, m, q) \binom{n + q - m}{q}$$

is a polynomial in n of degree at most $N(R)$.

To determine the coefficient $\beta_D(R, m, q)$ by brute force, one would need to consider all permutations in $S_{2N(R)}$. The situation is better for additive distances.

Definition 3.3. Let k be a positive integer. By a *composition* of k we mean an expression of k as an ordered sum of positive integers, and by a q -*composition* we mean a composition that has exactly q terms. (See [Sta97].)

It is easy to see that the number of q -compositions of k is $\binom{k-1}{q-1}$: a q -composition of k is a way to break an array of k blocks into q non empty parts. The first part must start at 1, but the remaining $q-1$ parts can start at any $q-1$ places in the remaining $k-1$ blocks.

Proposition 3.4. Let $D: S_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be an additive right invariant distance on S_∞ . Then

$$(3.2) \quad \beta_D(k, m, q) = \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_q)} \sum_{(m_1, \dots, m_q)} \prod_{i=1}^q \beta_D(k_i, m_i, 1),$$

where the sums are over of q -compositions $(k_1, \dots, k_q)$ of k and q -compositions $(m_1, \dots, m_q)$ of m .

If the metric D is additive, then all the coefficients $\beta_D(k, m, q)$ can be computed from $\beta(k', m', 1)$ for all $k' \leq k$ and $m' \leq m$, and that can be done inside S_{k+1} .

4. POLYNOMIAL GROWTH FOR ℓ_p

In this section we prove that the metrics ℓ_p for $p \geq 1$ and the Kendall metric I satisfy the condition of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, hence the spheres and the balls grow polynomially in n for a fixed radius.

Proposition 4.1. If σ is a split type and $\ell_p(\sigma) \leq R$, then

$$m(\sigma) - q(\sigma) \leq \frac{1}{2} R^p.$$

Proof. If $\ell_p(\sigma) \leq R$, then

$$\ell_1(\sigma) \leq \ell_p(\sigma)^p \leq R^p,$$

and therefore it suffices to prove the statement for the case $p = 1$.

Suppose that $\sigma \in S_m$ is a split type with split decomposition $\sigma = \sigma_1 + \dots + \sigma_q$ and such that $\ell_1(\sigma) \leq r$. We need to show that $m - q \leq r/2$. It suffices to prove that for every *connected* split type σ we have

$$(4.1) \quad m(\sigma) - 1 \leq \frac{1}{2} \ell_1(\sigma).$$

We prove (4.1) by induction on the number of cycles of σ .

Suppose σ is a cycle $c: i_1 = 1 \rightarrow i_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow i_t = m \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow i_s$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_1(\sigma) &= (|i_1 - i_2| + \dots + |i_{t-1} - i_t|) + (|i_t - i_{t+1}| + \dots + |i_s - i_1|) \geq \\ &\geq 2(i_t - i_1) = 2(m - 1), \end{aligned}$$

and that implies (4.1). The equality occurs if and only if the cycle c is *monotone*,

$$1 = i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{t-1} < i_t = m > i_{t+1} > \dots > i_s > i_1 = 1,$$

i.e. the values occurring in c between $i_1 = 1$ and $i_t = m$ appear in increasing order, and the values from $i_t = m$ to $i_1 = 1$ appear in decreasing order.

Suppose now that (4.1) is valid for all connected permutations with at most r cycles, and let σ be a connected permutation with $r+1$ cycles $c_1, \dots, c_{r+1}$, ordered in increasing order of their minimal elements. Let $a = \min(c_{r+1})$, $b = \max(c_{r+1})$, and $\sigma' = (c_1, \dots, c_r)$, $a' = \min\{i \mid \sigma'(i) \neq i\}$, $b' = \max\{i \mid \sigma'(i) \neq i\}$. Then $m = \max(b, b') > \min(b, b') > \max(a, a') > \min(a, a') = 1$. Moreover, the split type of σ' is a connected permutation in $S_{m'}$, where $m' = b' - a' + 1$.

Using the induction hypothesis we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\ell_1(\sigma) &= \ell_1(\sigma') + \ell_1(c_{r+1}) \geq 2(m' - 1) + 2(b - a) > \\ &> 2(\max(b, b') - \min(a, a')) = 2(m - 1),\end{aligned}$$

so the inequality (4.1) follows by induction. $\square$

Theorem 3.1 implies that the cardinality $V_{\ell_p, n}(R)$ of a ball of fixed radius R with respect to the distance ℓ_p grows polynomially in n , with the degree of the polynomial not exceeding $R^p/2$. For the distance ℓ_1 we can be more precise.

Theorem 4.2. Let k be a positive integer. For $n \geq k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}A_{\ell_1, n}(2k) &= \binom{n-k}{k} + \text{positive terms of degree at most } k-1, \\ V_{\ell_1, n}(2k) &= \binom{n-k}{k} + \text{positive terms of degree at most } k-1.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. If σ is a split type with $\ell_1(\sigma) = 2k$, then $q(\sigma) \leq m(\sigma) - q(\sigma) \leq k$. The split type $\sigma = (21) + \dots + (21)$ is the only one with k parts, and $m(\sigma) = 2k$. Therefore $\beta_{\ell_1}(2k, m, k) = 1$ if $m = 2k$ and 0 otherwise. Theorem 3.2 implies the formula for $A_{\ell_1, n}(2k)$, and the formula for $V_{\ell_1, n}(2k)$ is an immediate consequence. $\square$

In the rest of this section we discuss results related to other distances.

Remark 4.3. If I is the Kendall metric, then $A_{I, n}(k)$ is a polynomial of degree k in n for $n \geq k$ (see [Knu73, p. 15], where an exact formula is also given). We use our result on ℓ_1 to give an alternative proof of this result. By [DG77, Theorem 2] we know that $\ell_1(u) \leq 2I(u)$, and therefore

$$S_{I, n}(k) \subset B_{I, n}(k) \subseteq B_{\ell_1, n}(2k).$$

As a consequence, both $A_{I, n}(k)$ and $V_{I, n}(k)$ grow at most polynomially of degree k in n . If σ is a split type and $I(\sigma) \leq k$, then Proposition 4.1 implies $m(\sigma) - q(\sigma) \leq k$; therefore $q(\sigma) \leq k$ and the polynomial growth formula (3.1) is valid for $n \geq k$. There exists exactly one split type σ with $I(\sigma) = k$ and $q(\sigma) = k$. This is the split type $\sigma = (21) + (21) + \dots + (21)$, with k terms in the concatenation, and $m(\sigma) = 2k$. Hence $\beta_I(k, m, k) = 1$ if $m = 2k$ and 0 otherwise. Therefore for $n \geq k$,

$$\begin{aligned}A_{I, n}(k) &= \binom{n-k}{k} + \text{positive terms of degree at most } k-1, \\ V_{I, n}(k) &= \binom{n-k}{k} + \text{positive terms of degree at most } k-1.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $A_{I, n}(k) \simeq A_{\ell_1, n}(2k)$ and $V_{I, n}(k) \simeq V_{\ell_1, n}(2k)$ up to terms of degree $k-1$, and therefore the relative errors converge to 0 as n goes to ∞ .

Even though Equation 3.1 does not seem to give a general formula for $A_{I, n}(k)$ as in [Knu73], it has the advantage that the formulas it generates are *positive*: they express $A_{I, n}(k)$ as a sum of positive terms, consistent with the fact that $A_{I, n}(k)$ counts permutations with certain properties.

Remark 4.4. The cardinalities of ℓ_∞ -balls of fixed radii satisfy linear recurrences in n ([Sta97, Prop. 4.7.8], [KS02]). They grow at least exponentially in n and an exponential lower bound is also given in [Kl011]. Note that the ℓ_∞ distance does

not satisfy the conditions of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2: if σ_r is the concatenation of r copies of (21), then $\ell_\infty(\sigma_r) = 1$ but $m(\sigma_r) - q(\sigma_r) = r \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 4.5. The Hamming distance shows that the condition is not necessary. Cardinalities of spheres and balls of fixed radii grow polynomially, but for every r , the transposition σ that swaps 1 and r is a split type in S_r and $H(\sigma_r) = 2$, even though $m(\sigma_r) - q(\sigma_r) = r - 1 \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. What remains true, however, is that an eventual polynomial growth implies a bound on the number of parts of a split type: let $d(R)$ be the degree of P . The terms of highest degree in (3.1) are not cancelled, hence that highest degree cannot exceed $d(R)$. Therefore $q(\sigma) \leq d(R)$ for all split types σ with $D(\sigma) \leq R$.

5. HIGH DEGREE TERMS

In the remaining sections of this paper we focus on the cardinality of spheres for the distance $D = \ell_1$, namely on $A_{\ell_1, n}(2k) = A_n(2k)$. For $n \geq k$, this number is given by the k^{th} -degree polynomial

$$(5.1) \quad P_k(n) = \sum_{q=1}^k \sum_{m=2q}^{q+k} \beta(2k, m, q) \binom{n+q-m}{q} = \binom{n-k}{k} + \text{lower degree terms}.$$

In this section we determine high degree terms of this polynomial.

Maximal distances in S_n and cardinalities of spheres of maximal radius have been determined in [DG77]. Their results imply the following.

Lemma 5.1. If $m = 2r$ is even, then $\beta(2k, 2r, q) = 0$ if $k > r^2$ and

$$\beta(2r^2, 2r, q) = \begin{cases} (r!)^2, & \text{if } q = 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $m = 2r + 1$ is odd, then $\beta(2k, 2r + 1, q) = 0$ if $k > r^2 + r$ and

$$\beta(2r^2 + 2r, 2r + 1, q) = \begin{cases} (2r + 1)(r!)^2, & \text{if } q = 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $m = 2r$ is even, then the maximal distance $2k = 2r^2 + 2r$ is achieved by permutations $\sigma \in S_{2r}$ with the property that $\sigma(i) > r$ for all $i \leq r$, and there are $(r!)^2$ such permutations. All of them are connected, because the cycle containing 1 must coincide or overlap with the cycle containing $m = 2r$, hence all of them are split types with $q = 1$.

If $m = 2r + 1$ is odd, then the maximal distance is attained for permutations $\sigma \in S_{2r+1}$ with the property that $\sigma(i) > r$ for $i \leq r$ and $\sigma(i) \leq r$ for $i > r$. There are $(2r + 1)(r!)^2$ such permutations. All of them are connected, because the cycle containing 1 must coincide or overlap with the cycle containing $m = 2r + 1$, hence they are all split types with $q = 1$. $\square$

For small m the maximal ℓ_1 -distances in S_m are given below.

m	2	3	4	5	6	7
$2k$	2	4	8	12	18	24

Therefore:

- If $k \leq 3$ and $\beta(2k, m, 1) \neq 0$, then $m = k + 1$.
- If $k \leq 5$ and $\beta(2k, m, 1) \neq 0$, then $m \geq k$.
- If $k \leq 7$ and $\beta(2k, m, 1) \neq 0$, then $m \geq k - 1$.

- If $k \leq 8$ and $\beta(2k, m, 1) \neq 0$, then $m \geq k - 2$.
- If $k \leq 9$ and $\beta(2k, m, 1) \neq 0$, then $m \geq k - 3$.

These simple observations allow us to prove the following result.

Lemma 5.2. If $q \geq k - 8$ and $\beta(2k, m, q) \neq 0$, then:

- either $m \geq k + q - 3$, or
- $q = k - 8$, $m = 2k - 12$. Then $\beta(2k, 2k - 12, k - 8) = 36(k - 8)$, and $k \geq 9$.

Proof. By Equation (3.2) we have

$$\beta(2k, m, q) = \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_q)} \sum_{(m_1, \dots, m_q)} \prod_{i=1}^q \beta(2k_i, m_i, 1)$$

with sums over q -compositions of k and m , respectively. Then $\beta(2k, m, q) \neq 0$ implies that for at least one pair of compositions, all the terms $\beta(2k_i, m_i, 1)$ are non-zero. Suppose $(k_1, \dots, k_q)$ and $(m_1, \dots, m_q)$ are compositions of k and m respectively such that $\beta(2k_i, m_i, 1) \neq 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, q$. Without loss of generality we can assume $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_q$. Then

$$k = k_1 + \dots + k_q \geq k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + (q - 3) \geq k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k - 11,$$

so $k_1 + k_2 + k_3 \leq 11$. Similarly $k_1 + k_2 \leq 10$ and $k_1 \leq 9$. Hence at most two parts of the composition have $k_i \geq 4$, at most one part has $k_i \geq 6$, and no part exceeds 9. That shows that only the following situations are possible:

- $k_1 \leq 3$. No part is above 3, hence $m_i = k_i + 1$ for all q parts, so $m = k + q$.
- $k_2 \leq 3 < k_1 \leq 8$. Only one part is above 3, and that part is under 8. Then $m_i = k_i + 1$ for all but at most one part, and $m_1 \geq k_1 - 2$ for the last part. In this case we have $m \geq k + q - 3$.
- $k_2 \leq 3 < k_1 = 9$. Only one part is above 3, and that part is of length 9. Then $q = k - 8$, $k_2 = \dots = k_q = 1$, $m_2 = \dots = m_q = 2$ and $6 \leq m_1 \leq 10$, so $m = m_1 + 2(q - 1) = m_1 + 2k - 18$. The only case when $m < k + q - 3 = 2k - 11$ is when $m_1 = 6$, and then $m = 2k - 12$. Then the q -compositions of k and m are $(9, 1, \dots, 1)$ and $(6, 2, \dots, 2)$, respectively. There are $q = k - 8$ possible places for the part of k of length 9, $\beta(18, 6, 1) = 36$, and $\beta(2, 2, 1) = 1$, hence $\beta(2k, 2k - 12, k - 8) = 36(k - 8)$.
- $k_3 \leq 3 < k_2 = 4 \leq k_1 = 6$. Only two parts are above 3: one is $k_1 = 6$ and the other is $k_2 = 4$. Then $m_i = k_i + 1$ for all but at most two parts, and for those two parts $m_2 \geq k_2$ and $m_1 \geq k_1 - 1$. In this case we have $m \geq k + q - 3$.
- $k_3 \leq 3 < k_2 \leq k_1 \leq 5$. Then $m_1 \geq k_1$, $m_2 \geq k_2$, and $m_i \geq k_i + 1$ for all other parts, hence $m \geq k + q - 2$.

Therefore the only case when $m < k + q - 3$ is when $q = k - 8$ and $m = 2k - 12$. In that situation, $\beta(2k, 2k - 12, k - 8) = 36(k - 8)$, hence we must have $k \geq 9$. $\square$

The following estimate is an immediate consequence of Lemma 5.2.

Lemma 5.3. The k^{th} -degree polynomial

$$Q_k(n) = \sum_{q=1}^k \sum_{m=k+q-3}^{k+q} \beta(2k, m, q) \binom{n-m+q}{q} + 36(k-8) \binom{n-k+4}{k-8}$$

agrees with P_k on terms of degree $k - 8$ and higher. Moreover $P_k = Q_k$ for $k \leq 9$.

To determine Q_k we need to determine $\beta(2k, m, q)$ for $m = k + q, \dots, k + q - 3$. We start with a technical lemma.

Lemma 5.4. If $\sigma \in S_m$ is a connected split type and $\ell_1(\sigma) = 2k$, then σ has at most $k + 2 - m$ cycles.

Proof. Let $c_1, \dots, c_t$ be the cycles in σ , with $t \geq 1$. Let $[i_1, j_1], \dots, [i_t, j_t]$ be the ranges of those cycles, such that $1 = i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_t$. Let $[j'_1, \dots, j'_t]$ be the right endpoints of those ranges, sorted in increasing order: $\{j'_1, \dots, j'_t\} = \{j_1, \dots, j_t\}$ and $j'_1 < j'_2 < \dots < j'_t = m$. Since σ is connected, its cycles are linked, hence $j'_s > i_{s+1}$ for all $s = 1, \dots, t-1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 2k &= \ell_1(\sigma) = \ell_1(c_1) + \dots + \ell_1(c_t) \geq 2(j_1 - i_1) + \dots + 2(j_t - i_t) = \\ (5.2) \quad &= 2(m - 1) + 2(j'_1 - i_2) + \dots + 2(j'_{t-1} - i_t) \geq 2(m - 1) + 2(t - 1) = \\ &= 2(m + t - 2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence σ can't have more than $t_0 = k + 2 - m$ cycles. $\square$

Let t be the number of cycles of a connected split type $\sigma \in S_m$. The excess of $\ell_1(\sigma)$ over the minimum $2(m + t - 2)$ occurs from two sources, corresponding to the inequalities in the first two lines of (5.2):

- (1) cycles of σ are not monotone, *i.e.* values that occur in the part from i_s to j_s are not in increasing order, or values that occur in the part from j_s to i_{s+1} are not in decreasing order.

- (2) cycles of σ are not minimally linked, *i.e.* $j'_s - i_{s+1} > 1$ for some endpoints;

For example, the cycle $c = (1, 4, 2, 3, 6, 5, 10, 8, 9)$ has the blocks $4 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3$ and $6 \rightarrow 5$ in the part from 1 to 10 but not in increasing order, and the block $8 \rightarrow 9$ in the part from 10 to 1 but not in decreasing order.

We can now compute the coefficients $\beta(2k, m, q)$ for $m = k + q, \dots, k + q - 3$.

Lemma 5.5. The coefficients $\beta(2k, m, q)$ for $m = k + q, \dots, k + q - 3$ are

$$(5.3) \quad \beta(2k, k + q, q) = \binom{k-1}{q-1} 3^{k-q}$$

for all $1 \leq q \leq k$;

$$(5.4) \quad \beta(2k, k + q - 1, q) = 4(k-3) \binom{k-4}{q-1} 3^{k-q-3}$$

if $1 \leq q \leq k - 3$, and 0 otherwise;

$$(5.5) \quad \beta(2k, k + q - 2, q) = 4(k-5) \left((k-6) \binom{k-7}{q-1} + 15 \binom{k-6}{q-1} \right) 3^{k-q-6}$$

if $1 \leq q \leq k - 5$, and 0 otherwise;

$$(5.6) \quad \beta(2k, k + q - 3, q) = 4(k-7) \binom{k-8}{q-1} (8(k-q)^2 + 60(k-q) - 137) 3^{k-q-10}$$

if $1 \leq q \leq k - 7$, and 0 otherwise.

Proof. To prove (5.3) we start with $q = 1$ and determine $\beta(2k, k + 1, 1)$ for $k \geq 1$. If $\sigma \in S_{k+1}$ is a connected split type counted by $\beta(2k, k + 1, 1)$, then by Lemma 5.4 σ must be a cycle, and by the proof of Proposition 4.1, this cycle must be monotone: the values between 1 and $k + 1$ must occur in increasing order, and the values between $k + 1$ and 1 in decreasing order. For each of the $k - 1$ values $2, 3, \dots, k$ we

have three possibilities: the value appears on the part from 1 to $k + 1$, on the part from $k + 1$ to 1, or doesn't appear at all in the cycle. The choices are independent and each set of choices completely determines the permutation σ . Therefore

$$\beta(2k, k + 1, 1) = 3^{k-1}.$$

If $(k_1, \dots, k_q)$ and $(m_1, \dots, m_q)$ are q -compositions that appear in the sum (3.2) and $m = k + q$, then $m_i = k_i + 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, q$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(2k, k + q, q) &= \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_q)} \prod_{i=1}^q \beta(2k_i, k_i + 1, 1) = \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_q)} \prod_{i=1}^q 3^{k_i-1} = \\ &= 3^{k-q} \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_q)} 1 = 3^{k-q} \binom{k-1}{q-1}. \end{aligned}$$

To prove the formula (5.4) we consider first the case $q = 1$ and prove that

$$(5.7) \quad \beta(2k, k, 1) = 4(k-3)3^{k-4}.$$

If $\sigma \in S_k$ is a split type such that $\ell_1(\sigma) = 2k$, then σ can have at most 2 cycles.

If σ has two cycles c_1 and c_2 , then they have to overlap, so $\text{range}(c_1) = [1, j]$ and $\text{range}(c_2) = [i, k]$, or $\text{range}(c_1) = [1, k]$ and $\text{range}(c_2) = [i, j]$, with $i < j$. Since

$$2k = \ell_1(\sigma) = \ell_1(c_1) + \ell_1(c_2) \geq 2k + 2(j - i - 1) \geq 2k,$$

we must have $j = i + 1$ and the cycles have to be monotone. In both cases there are $k - 3$ ways of choosing the pair $(i, i + 1)$. For each choice, for each of the remaining $k - 4$ values, the cycle it belongs to, if any, is determined. There are three possibilities for each of the remaining $k - 4$ values: to be on the ascending part of the cycle, on the descending part, or to not be in the cycle at all. Consequently, there are $2(k - 3)3^{k-4}$ split types with two cycles.

If σ has only one cycle c , the only way to increase ℓ_1 by exactly 2 over the minimum $2(k - 1)$ is to have two adjacent values occurring in the opposite order to the ascending/descending part they belong to: $1 \rightarrow i + 1 \rightarrow i \rightarrow k$ or $k \rightarrow i \rightarrow i + 1 \rightarrow 1$. In each of the two cases there are $k - 3$ ways of choosing the pair $(i, i + 1)$, and for each choice, there are three possibilities for each of the remaining $k - 4$ values. Hence the number of split types $\sigma \in S_k$ consisting of one cycle such that $\ell_1(\sigma) = 2k$ is $2(k - 3)3^{k-4}$.

Therefore

$$\beta(2k, k, 1) = 2(k - 3)3^{k-4} + 2(k - 3)3^{k-4} = 4(k - 3)3^{k-4},$$

hence (5.7). In particular, for $\beta(2k, k, 1)$ to be positive, we must have $k \geq 4$.

Let $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_q)$ be a composition of k . If a composition $(m_1, \dots, m_q)$ of $m = k + q - 1$ contributes to $\beta(2k, k + q - 1, q)$, then $m_i \leq k_i + 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, q$, which implies

$$k + q - 1 = m = m_1 + \dots + m_q \leq (k_1 + 1) + \dots + (k_q + 1) = k + q.$$

This can only happen if $m_i = k_i + 1$ for $q - 1$ values of i and $m_{i_0} = k_{i_0}$ for exactly one value i_0 . But for $\beta(2k_{i_0}, k_{i_0}, 1)$ to be nonzero, we must have $k_{i_0} \geq 4$, hence one of the parts of the composition of k must be of order at least 4, which implies $q \leq k - 3$.

We determine all the pairs $(k_1, \dots, k_q), (m_1, \dots, m_q)$ of compositions of k and $m = k + q - 1$ that give nonzero contributions to $\beta(2k, k + q - 1, q)$ by deciding beforehand which index i corresponds to the term with $m_i = k_i$. Select an index

$1 \leq i_0 \leq q$. Let $[(k_1, \dots, k_q), (m_1, \dots, m_q)]$ be a pair of partitions with a nonzero contribution such that $m_{i_0} = k_{i_0}$. Then $m_i = k_i$ for all $i \neq i_0$, hence the partition $(m_1, \dots, m_q)$ is completely determined by the pair $[(k_1, \dots, k_q), i_0]$. Let $k'_i = k_i$ for all $i \neq i_0$ and $k'_{i_0} = k_{i_0} - 3 \geq 1$. Then $(k'_1, \dots, k'_q)$ is a composition of $k - 3$. The process is reversible, hence the pairs $[(k_1, \dots, k_q), (m_1, \dots, m_q)]$ are indexed by pairs $[(k'_1, \dots, k'_q), i_0]$ consisting of a partition of $k - 3$ into positive parts and an index $1 \leq i_0 \leq q$. The contribution of such a pair is

$$\beta(2k_1, \alpha_1 + 1, 1) \cdots \beta(2k_{i_0}, \alpha_{i_0}, 1) \cdots \beta(2k_q, \alpha_q + 1, 1) = 4k'_{i_0} 3^{k-q-3}.$$

The contribution of all pairs from the same composition of $k - 3$ is then

$$4(k'_1 + \dots + k'_q) 3^{k-q-3} = 4(k - 3) 3^{k-q-3},$$

and there are $\binom{k-4}{q-1}$ such compositions, hence (5.7).

The proofs of (5.5) and (5.6) are similar but the bookkeeping is more elaborate. $\square$

Remark 5.6. The polynomial

$$R_k(n) = \sum_{q=1}^k \beta(2k, k+q, q) \binom{n-k}{q} = \sum_{q=1}^k \binom{k-1}{q-1} 3^{k-q} \binom{n-k}{q}$$

agrees with P_k on terms of degree $k-2$ and higher and is exact for $k \leq 3$. The generating function of R_k is

$$f_k(X) = \sum_{n \geq 0} R_k(n) X^n = \frac{X^{k+1} (2X - 3)^{k-1}}{(X - 1)^{k+1}}.$$

6. SPHERES OF SMALL RADIUS

Combining Lemmas 5.3 and 5.5 we obtain formulas for $P_k(n)$ for $k \leq \min(9, n)$. The polynomials for $k \leq 6$ are:

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(n) &= \binom{n-1}{1} = n - 1 \\ P_2(n) &= \binom{n-2}{2} + 3 \binom{n-2}{1} = \frac{1}{2}(n^2 + n - 6) \\ P_3(n) &= \binom{n-3}{3} + 6 \binom{n-3}{2} + 9 \binom{n-3}{1} = \frac{1}{6}(n^3 + 6n^2 - 25n - 6) \\ P_4(n) &= \binom{n-4}{4} + 9 \binom{n-4}{3} + 27 \binom{n-4}{2} + 27 \binom{n-4}{1} + 4 \binom{n-3}{1} \\ P_5(n) &= \binom{n-5}{5} + 12 \binom{n-5}{4} + 54 \binom{n-5}{3} + 108 \binom{n-5}{2} + 81 \binom{n-5}{1} + \\ &\quad + 8 \binom{n-4}{2} + 24 \binom{n-4}{1} \\ P_6(n) &= \binom{n-6}{6} + 15 \binom{n-6}{5} + 90 \binom{n-6}{4} + 270 \binom{n-6}{3} + 405 \binom{n-6}{2} + \\ &\quad + 243 \binom{n-6}{1} + 12 \binom{n-5}{3} + 240 \binom{n-5}{2} + 108 \binom{n-5}{1} + 20 \binom{n-4}{1}. \end{aligned}$$

We can use the expressions above to compute the cardinality of spheres of radius $2k$ in S_n for $k \leq 6$ and for all $n \geq 2$, not just for $n \geq k$. All we have to do is replace the binomial coefficient $\binom{a}{b}$ by 0 if $a < 0$. Nothing interesting occurs for $k \leq 5$: $A_{\ell_1, 2k}(n) = P_k(n)$ if $n \geq k$ and $A_{\ell_1, 2k}(n) = 0$, if $n < k$. But when $k = 6$, then $A_{\ell_1, 12}(n) = P_6(n)$ for $n \geq 6$, and $A_{\ell_1, 12}(n) = 0$ only for $n \leq 4$. For $n = 5$,

$$A_{\ell_1, 12}(5) = \#\{u \in S_5 \mid \ell_1(u) = 12\} = 20$$

is computed from the expression for $P_6(5)$ by ignoring all but the last term.

REFERENCES

- [DG77] Persi Diaconis and R. L. Graham. Spearman's footrule as a measure of disarray. *J. Roy. Statist. Soc. Ser. B*, 39(2):262–268, 1977.
- [DH98] Michel Deza and Tayuan Huang. Metrics on permutations, a survey. *J. Combin. Inform. System Sci.*, 23(1-4):173–185, 1998.
- [Eli11] Sergi Elizalde. The X-class and almost-increasing permutations. *Ann. Comb.*, 15(1):51–68, 2011.
- [Kl11] Torleiv Kløve. Lower bounds on the size of spheres of permutations under the Chebychev distance. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 59(1-3):183–191, 2011.
- [Knu73] Donald E. Knuth. *The art of computer programming. Volume 3*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, Ont., 1973. Sorting and searching, Addison-Wesley Series in Computer Science and Information Processing.
- [KS02] O. Krafft and M. Schaefer. On the number of permutations within a given distance. *Fibonacci Quart.*, 40(5):429–434, 2002.
- [Sta97] Richard P. Stanley. *Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 1*, volume 49 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF BUCHAREST
E-mail address: `ldinu@fmi.unibuc.ro`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UMASS BOSTON
E-mail address: `catalin.zara@umb.edu`